

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/692,342	10/19/2000	Gregory Michael Nordstrom	AUS920000620US1	AUS920000620US1 7001	
7590 06/10/2004			EXAMINER		
BRACEWEL	L & PATTERSON LLP	SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW P.O. BOX 969			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0969			2154		
		DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

					/			
4		Application No).	Applicant(s)	- / (
Office Action Summary		09/692,342		GREGORY MICHAEL NORDTRO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mohammad A S		2154				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by serely received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, hown. a reply within the statutory meriod will apply and will expirestatute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	31 March 2004.						
·	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from conside						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ ob	ojected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been rec nents have been rec priority documents h ureau (PCT Rule 17.	ceived. ceived in Application nave been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary					
3) Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sler No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5) 💆	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 are presented for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Toole et al. (6,345,294) (hereinafter O'Toole) in view of Royce et al. (5,748,884) (hereinafter Royce)
- 4. As per claims 1 and 17, O'Toole discloses a method for configuring a network (col 2, lines 1-13) said method comprising the steps of:

dynamically determining when a component is connected to a node of said network (col 2, lines 1-13); and

Art Unit: 2154

Page 3

in response to said dynamically determining step (col 1, lines 20-24), configuring said network to provide support for said component (col 3, lines 20-35),

O'Toole specifically does not disclose

network-level partitions and at least one operating system (OS) assigned to each of said one or more network-level partitions,

wherein, when an OS supports only components within partition among the one or more network-level partitions to which the OS is assigned, said configuring process includes informing the oS, assigned to a partition to which said node belongs of the presence of the component and enabling OS and other support for said partition.

However, Royce discloses network-level partitions and at least one operating system (OS) assigned to each of said one or more network-level partitions (col 4, lines 1-20),

wherein, when an OS supports only components within partition among the one or more network-level partitions to which the OS is assigned, said configuring process includes informing the oS, assigned to a partition to which said node belongs of the presence of the component and enabling OS and other support for said partition (col 3, lines 64-67, col 4, lines 1-26 and col 5, lines 42-44).

Application/Control Number: 09/692,342 Page 4

Art Unit: 2154

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Royce with O'Toole because it would provide an automatic notification system within a communication network environment that automatically performs predetermined notification procedures based on specific messages detected from an operating system.

5. As per claims 2 and 18, O'Toole discloses the steps of:

registering the OS (col 2, line 28) with an management system of said network, wherein said management system provides a notification to each registered OS (col 5, lines 55-57) whenever a new (col 7, lines 30-37) component is added to said node and detected by said management system (col 7, lines 40-60); and

automatically alerting said OS (col 2, line 28) via said management system (DHCP server, col 2, lines 33-35) that said component is added to said node step (col 7, lines 40-67).

6. As per claim 3, O'Toole discloses dynamically determining step is completed by said management system and includes the step of monitoring a network via a periodic sweep operation for visible configuration changes (col 8, lines 1-16) that indicate presence of the component (col 23, lines 52-60).

Art Unit: 2154

7. As per claim 4, O'Toole discloses network includes a switch (col 6, 51-53) and said dynamically determining step includes the steps of:

detecting an addition of said component to a link of said switch mechanism (col 6, lines 39-53); and

in response to said detecting step signaling (col 16, lines 47-51) said management system via the trap message that said component is connected to said network (col 14, lines 59-67).

O'Toole specifically does not disclose generating a trap message at said node.

However, Royce discloses (col 6, lines 65-67, col 7, lines 1-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Royce with O'Toole because it would provide an automatic notification system within a communication network environment that automatically performs predetermined notification procedures based on specific messages detected from an operating system.

8. As per claims 5 and 19, O'Toole discloses comprising the steps of associating said component to at least one partition (col 28, lines 1-2) of O'Toole specifically does not disclose said network from among the one or

Art Unit: 2154

more network-level partitions; assigning port attributes to said component; and, associating said component to at least one OS assigned to said at least one partition.

However, Royce discloses said network from among the one or more network-level partitions; assigning port attributes to said component; and, associating said component to at least one OS assigned to said at least one partition (col 3, lines 64-67, col 4, lines 1-26 and col 5, lines 42-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Royce with O'Toole because it would provide an automatic notification system within a communication network environment that automatically performs predetermined notification procedures based on specific messages detected from an operating system.

9. As per claims 6 and 20, O'Toole discloses the of determining the partition of said network to which said a component has been associated (col 27, lines 5-12); and

Checking for subscribed consumers (col 15, lines –53) within the partition (col 28,n lines 1-2), said subscribed consumers including said one or more OS (col 15, lines –53, col 5, lines 55-57); and notifying said OS of said component only when said OS is assigned to said partition (col 27, lines 5-12 and col 28,1-11) or said OS has subscribed

Art Unit: 2154

to be notified of new components and has correct access privileges for the partition in which the node exists (administrator, col 27, lines 5-12 and col 28,1-11), wherein each OS is provided predefined access privileges to particular ones of said one or more network-level partitions (read-only, col 28, lines 1-2).

10. As per claims 7, 22, O'Toole discloses further comprising the steps of: tracking components that are supported by the OS via a component table (col 13, lines 1-67);

Automatically updating a component table available to said OS with information about said component (col 13, lines 6-10); and providing OS support to all components registered in said component table (col 4, lines 51-67).

11. As per claim 8, O'Toole discloses a system for configuring a: network, said system comprising:

a network manager (col 20, lines 14-15) that dynamically determines when a component is added to a node of said network and configures said network to provide support for said component (col 20, lines 14-17) wherein said network is a system area network (SAN) that enables user processes to bypass an OS kernel process and

Art Unit: 2154

directly access network communication hardware (col 1, lines 35-50); and

Page 8

a network administration utility that (col 20, lines 14-17), and in response to said network manager dynamically determining when a component is added (col 20, lines 14-17), notifies an OS registered with said network administration utility that said component is added (col 6, lines 25-38), wherein said OS updates required OS parameters to enable OS support of said component (col 1, lines 35-64).

- 12. As per claim 9, O'Toole discloses the network manager determines when said component is added by monitoring and periodically monitoring said network for configuration changes (col 20, lines 55-58).
- 13. As per claim 10, O'Toole discloses network manager determines when said component is added by receiving a packet from said component indicated that said component is present on said network (col 6, lines 39-50).
- 14. As per claim 11, O'Toole discloses further comprising a registration table utilized by said OS for registering said OS for notification by said

Art Unit: 2154

network administration utility of an addition of a component (col 10, 55-60, col 2, lines 1-35);

Page 9

15. As per claim 12, O'Toole discloses a partitioning mechanism that associates said component with one or more of a plurality of partitions of said network (col 28, lines 3-11); and

wherein said network manager notifies said OS only when said OS is associated with a same one of said one or more partitions (col 27, lines 1-11).

- 16. As per claim 13, O'Toole discloses comprising a component registry available to said OS that is updated with information about said component when said component is detected (col 3, lines 20-39), wherein said. OS provides support to all components registered in said component registry to which it said OS has access privilege (security, col 3, lines 20-39, col 6, lines 9-20).
- 17. As per claim 14, O'Toole discloses a network comprising: a switch (col 19, lines 26-27);

at least one node linked to said switch for adding components (fig 2, col 19, lines 26-28);

Art Unit: 2154

a network manager that dynamically determines when a component is added to said at least one node of said network and configures said network to provide support for said component (col 19, 26-40), wherein said network is a (SAN) that enables user processes to bypass an OS kernel process and directly access network (boot request packet, col 1, lines 35-50);

Page 10

at least one operating system (col 2, line 28); and

a network administration utility (col 20, lines 14-17) that, and in response to said network manager (col 20, lines 55-58), dynamically determining when a component is added (col 20, lines 14-17), notifies an OS registered with said network administration utility that said component is added (col 6, lines 25-38), wherein said OS updates required OS parameters to enable OS support of said component (col 1, lines 35-64).

18. As per claims 15 and 21, O'Toole discloses a partition agent that associates said component to one or more partitions of said network and controls access to said component via a partition monitoring function (col 27, lines 1-12, col 28, lines 3-11); and wherein, said OS is notified of said component only when said OS has an access permission to a same one of said one or more partitions (col 27, lines 1-12, col 28, lines 3-11).

Application/Control Number: 09/692,342 Page 11

Art Unit: 2154

19. As per claim 16, O'Toole discloses network is a system area network (figure 2, col 5, lines 10-15) that enables user processes to bypass an OS kernel process and directly access network communication hardware (boot request packet, col 1, lines 35-50).

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,8,14 and 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

21. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Application/Control Number: 09/692,342 Art Unit: 2154

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 12

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A Siddiqi whose telephone number is (703) 305-0353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAS

JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**